

&
Sui Juris
per
)

FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF [REDACTED]
07 MAR 19 PM 12:02
CLERK [REDACTED]

In Propria Persona

All rights Reserved
without Prejudice

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FOR THE DISTRICT OF [REDACTED]

United States of America, and
Internal Revenue Service,
Plaintiffs/Applicants

V.

Misc. No. MC 06 - 24 MCA

[REDACTED]
Defendant/Respondent

AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE

Comes now, Sovereign private-sector [REDACTED] Citizens [REDACTED], and
her husband, counsel, and ATTORNEY IN FACT [REDACTED], an indispensable party
in the instant case, by birth non-taxpayers, Citizens of ONE of the united States of
America, expressly not citizens of the United States ("federal citizen(s)"), a married
couple inhabiting the community property [REDACTED] State/Republic, free persons
appearing *specialy* and not *generally* without conferring, waiving, or otherwise granting
jurisdiction, to petition this District Court of the United States (DCUS) for an ORDER to

US/DOJ A #y's
Ms. [REDACTED] and Mr. [REDACTED] to show cause why each should not be charged with (a) perjury and with (b) willfully misrepresenting the United States of America in the instant matter, and for an order to Mr. [REDACTED] ^{IRS Agent} to show cause why he shouldn't be charged with Perjury in the instant case.

Respondents here again strongly object to the IRS' and DOJ's continued and ongoing efforts to 'separate' them and their lawfully filed "joint-returns." Respondents here also strongly object to the IRS' and DOJ's continued and ongoing efforts and file specious (at best) and frivolous 'court actions' against them individually. This ongoing tactic is a (another) fraud upon any court of law and upon the Respondents. This cheap tactic is nothing short of ECONOMIC TERRORISM and EXTORTION;

"Congress meant to punish as extortion any effort to obtain property by inherently wrongful means, such as force or **threats of force or criminal prosecution**, regardless of the defendant's claim of right to the property ." Robbins v. Wilkie, No. 04-8016, 10th Cir. Jan. 10, 2006. (bold added)

COUNT 1; PERJURY

RESPONDENT(S) now testify as follows, to wit:

Respondents hereby attach and enter into the District Court of the United States (DCUS) record "Exhibit PERJ #1", a true and correct copy of a letter sent to them by an IRS "agent" and received by them on March 9, 2007, acknowledging Respondents' lawfully filed "joint-return(s)" for the year "2003". Similarly, Respondents have lawfully filed "joint-returns" for "2004", "2002", "2001", "2000", "1999", etc., etc.

Applicants [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] have filed numerous pleadings in an Article III "District Court of the United States" (DCUS), in which they claim on numerous occasions that "no return [has been/was] filed."

Respondents apply to the DCUS (as soon as a lawful Article III "constitutional" DCUS can be convened) for its' ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE why Applicants D [REDACTED], [REDACTED], IRS agent [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] should not be charged with perjury for lying to an Article III Constitutional District Court of the United States.

All Applicants, including that USDC territorial tribunal's actor who is impersonating a federal judge, [M. [REDACTED]] know that Respondents have lawfully filed 'joint-returns' for all of the years in question! They are resentful of, and want to suppress the knowledge of, the fact that Applicants know the TRUTH about the lawful definition of such terms as "income", "within and/or without the United States", "State", "wages", "trade or business" etc., etc., and,

Applicants continue by the use of threats, duress, robbery, intimidation, extortion, coercion and terror to force Respondents to adopt the common everyday and *metaphorical* usage of those terms.

"Once a government is committed to the principle of silencing the voice of opposition, it has only one way to go, and that is down the path of increasingly repressive measures, until it becomes a source of terror to all its citizens and creates a country where everyone lives in fear." - President Harry S. Truman.

COUNT 2; WILLFUL MISREPRESENTATION

Respondents now understand (and Applicant's should know) from facts now in the record in the instant case, and laws, that the United States and the United States of America are not one and the same.

Respondents also understand that the holding by the Supreme Court of the United States in Eisner v. Macomber, 252 U.S. 189 (1920) expressly bars the Congress from re-defining any terms found in the Constitution for the United States of America, as lawfully amended ("U.S. Constitution").

Both terms — United States and United States of America — are found in the organic U.S. Constitution.

Respondents have also reviewed the federal statute at 28 U.S.C. 1746, and have taken note that both terms are also used by Congress in this statute, in correct contradistinction to each other.

Respondents can find no *other* occurrences of the term "United States of America" in any *other* federal statutes within Title 28 of the United States Code ("U.S.C.").

Respondents have also reviewed the federal statute at 28 U.S.C. 530B, also known as the McDade Act.

The McDade Act requires all U.S. Attorneys in ^{STATE} [REDACTED] to obey the ethical standards and disciplinary guidelines issued by the State Bar of [REDACTED] STATE

Respondents believes that willful misrepresentation is prohibited by said standards and guidelines, and is also grounds for charging the offenders with violating The McDade Act and said standards and disciplinary guidelines issued by the State Bar of [REDACTED].

This concludes Respondents' AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE for purposes of the instant *petition*.

Respondents reserve their fundamental Right to alter or amend said AFFIDAVIT, at times and places of their choosing.

NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR MANDATORY JUDICIAL NOTICE

Pursuant to Rule 201(d) of the Federal Rules of Evidence ("FRE"), Petitioners respectfully request mandatory judicial notice by this Court of their "AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE."

REMEDY REQUESTED


All premises having been duly considered, without granting, waiving or otherwise conferring jurisdiction, Petitioner respectfully requests that an Article III Constitutional District Court of the United States (DCUS) be convened, and that a DCUS "order" be delivered with deliberate speed upon Mr. [REDACTED], Ms. [REDACTED] and Mr. [REDACTED] to show cause why each should not be charged with Perjury. A DCUS "order" should then also be delivered with deliberate speed upon Ms. [REDACTED] and Mr. [REDACTED] to show cause why each should not be charged with


violating the McDade Act at 28 U.S.C. 530B, and for willfully misrepresenting the United States of America, and also with violating said standards and disciplinary guidelines issued by the State Bar of [REDACTED].

SECOND NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

If and when an Article III constitutional court (DCUS) can be convened with a judge who is in possession of all lawfully required credentials to preside in that forum, and whose "pay is not diminished" as per the Constitution of the United States of America, Respondents hereby demand a JURY TRIAL on the evidence now in the record. Among Respondents' many fundamental and "due process" rights is included the chance to present this evidence to a jury of their peers, and to be heard in a constitutional court of law.

Under 28 USC, Section 1746 (1), I declare under penalty of perjury, without the United States, and under the laws of the united States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 3/19, 2007


Signature


Name




Address;




[REDACTED] All-Purpose Acknowledgement

[REDACTED] STATE/REPUBLIC

[REDACTED] COUNTY

On the 19 day of MARCH, 2007 Anno Domini, before me personally appeared **[REDACTED]** personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the Person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that He/She executed the same in His/Her authorized capacity, and that by His/Her signature on this instrument the Person, or the entity upon behalf of which the Person acted, executed the instrument. Purpose of Notary Public is for identification only, and not for entrance into any foreign jurisdiction. WITNESS my hand and official seal.

[Signature]
Notary Public



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that service of the foregoing Respondent(s)'

AFFIDAVIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE

has been made on March 19, 2007 by mailing a copy by regular US Mail to:

Office of the US Attorney;
D[REDACTED]
C[REDACTED],
PO [REDACTED]

Attached;
1. Exhibit "PERJ #1"

NOTE TO READERS: Attached to this Aff of PC was the letter sent to us by the "OTHER AGENT". Please see that letter for attachment labeled "EXHIBIT PERJ I" at end of letter. (The IRS' right hand doesn't know whose pocket the left hand is picking.)

This NOTE was not in original pleading.